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Factors associated with non-pathogenic antibodies against desmoglein-3 in pemphigus foliaceus
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Abstract

Background: Anti-desmoglein (Dsg)1 is produced in pemphigus foliaceus (PF), affecting exclusively the skin. Pemphigus vulgaris (PV) shows the production of anti-Dsg3 in the mucosal form, and anti-Dsg1 and 3 in the mucocutaneous form. Anti-Dsg3 autoantibodies have been rarely reported in PF.

Objectives: To determine the factors associated with the production and pathogenicity of anti-Dsg3 in PF.

Methods: Comparative analytical study of three patients groups: 16 PF-anti-Dsg3+; and 42 PF-anti-Dsg3- and 22 PV treatment-naïve cases. Serum was used in the anti-Dsg1 and 3 ELISA, and in immunoblotting (IB) with human epidermis extract. The expression of Dsg1 and 3 in paraffin sections was analyzed by immunohistochemistry (IHC). HLA-DRB1 alleles were compiled from a database.

Results: In the PF-anti-Dsg3+ group: age range similar to that of the PV group (p > 0.9999); predominance of the generalized form of PF (p = 0.002); anti-Dsg3 titers lower than those of PV (p < 0.0001); IB confirmed Dsg3 identification in one (8.33%) of 12 patients; IHC showed exclusive cytoplasmic internalization of Dsg1; HLA-DRB1 alleles of susceptibility to PF, with the absence of alleles associated with PV, in the five typed patients.

Study limitations: Most of the patients in the PF-anti-Dsg3+ group were undergoing treatment.

Study conducted at the Laboratory of the Dermatology Division, Hospital das Clínicas, Faculty of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.
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Conclusion: The presence of anti-Dsg3 antibodies in PF was related to older age (comparable to that of PV) and the generalized form of PF. The non-pathogenicity of anti-Dsg3 antibodies in PF can be attributed to the low serum anti-Dsg3 titers, the lack of Dsg3 internalization as detected by IHC, and the absence of PV-associated HLA-DRB1 alleles.

Methods

This is a comparative analytical study of three groups. The sample bank of the Dermatology Laboratory, Hospital das Clínicas, FMRF-USP, has the approval of the Research Ethics Committee (HCRP Process number 3605/2006). Patients and control individuals signed the free and informed consent form at the time of sample collection.

Case series

It consisted of 80 patients: 16 PF-anti-Dsg3+, 42 PF-anti-Dsg3(-) and 22 PV cases. The clinical findings were confirmed by histopathological examination and by direct immunofluorescence (DIF) and/or indirect immunofluorescence (IIF). Demographic, clinical and laboratory data (anti-Dsg1 and Dsg3 by ELISA and HLA alleles) were obtained from data recorded at the Laboratory of Dermatology. In the PF-anti-Dsg3+ group, three (20%) of the 15 patients (with information about treatment) were untreated at the time of blood collection, while 42 of the PF-anti-Dsg3(-) patients and 22 PV were all treatment-naive. Immunoblotting (IB) assays were performed with 12 (75%) of the 16 serum samples from the PF-anti-Dsg3+ group, with some patients having more than one sample analyzed, collected at different times. IHC was performed on sections from the paraffin blocks of four patients from the PF-anti-Dsg3+ group and one from the PF-anti-Dsg3(-) group.

ELISA for the detection of IgG antibodies against Dsg1 and 3

The manufacturers recommendations were followed. Values ≥ 20 U/mL between 9–20 U/mL and < 9 U/mL were considered positive, indeterminate and negative, respectively (MBL, Nagoya, Japan).

IB with human epidermis extract and patient serum

Briefly, skin fragments were incubated for 48h in PBS with EDTA (Merck) and PMSF (Sigma-Aldrich) to separate the epidermis from the dermis. Protein extraction from the epidermis was carried out with a Tris-HCl, SDS, 2-mercaptoethanol solution, and then an EDTA, PMSF and proteinase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich) were added. After the electrophoretic run, the polyacrylamide gel was

Introduction

Pemphigus are autoimmune diseases, in which intraepidermal acantholytic bullae are caused by the deposition of serum antibodies in desmogleins (Dsg), components of the epidermal desmosomes.\(^1\) Pemphigus vulgaris (PV) and pemphigus foliaceus (PF) are prevalent in southeastern Brazil.\(^3\) In PV, patients are usually elderly, with initial mucosal involvement, and have autoantibodies against Dsg3. In the mucocutaneous form of PV, there is also the production of anti-Dsg1. PF (also known as Fogo Selvagem [FS] in Brazil) affects exclusively the skin of young adults, with anti-Dsg1 production.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^5\)

Dsg1 and 3 are transmembrane proteins of 160kDa and 130kDa, respectively, expressed by genes located on chromosome 18.\(^6\) Dsgs compensation theory explains the level of intraepidermal acantholysis in pemphigus.\(^7\) Anti-Dsg1 antibodies in PF cause subgranular acantholysis, as Dsg1 is expressed more intensely in the upper layers of the epidermis. Anti-Dsg3 antibodies cause suprabasal acantholysis, as Dsg3 is most often expressed in the lower layers of the epidermis, and in all epithelial layers in the mucous membranes. Moreover, there is a lower expression of Dsg1 in the mucosa, it is not affected by anti-Dsg1 antibodies in PF, as Dsg3 compensates for epithelial cell cohesion in the mucosa.\(^8\) Subsequently, the hypothesis of Dsgs compensation, to explain the level of cleavage of epidermal acantholysis related to the production of anti-Dsg1 and 3, started to be discussed again, since exclusively suprabasal acantholysis in PV would not be explained in the mucocutaneous form, when anti-Dsg1 is also present. Other target molecules of epidermal adhesion are now identified in the pathogenesis of pemphigus, as well as mitochondrial proteins, cholinergic receptors, and other molecules, which act synergistically with anti-Dsg1 and Dsg3 antibodies in epidermal acantholysis.\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^18\)

As both PV and PF are prevalent in southeastern Brazil, there is an opportunity for outpatient follow-up of a large series of patients.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^5\) In the PF series, over a 25-year period, it was observed that 6.64% of the patients, in addition to being positive for anti-Dsg1, also had reactive titers to Dsg3 in the ELISA test, without, however, showing a phenotype of mucosal lesions. Therefore, we aimed to analyze clinical-laboratory data in the group of patients with PF and reactivity to Dsg3 (PF-anti-Dsg3(+)). For this purpose, three groups were compared: PF-anti-Dsg3+, PF with anti-Dsg3 negativity and treatment-naïve [PF-anti-Dsg3(-)], and treatment-naïve PV. For specific purposes, the following were evaluated: (i) Demographic and clinical data; (ii) Temporal evolution of anti-Dsg1 and 3 titers by ELISA and identification of Dsg1 and 3 by immunoblotting (IB) in the PF-anti-Dsg3+ group; and (iii) Expression of Dsg1 and 3 in paraffin-embedded skin biopsies by immunohistochemistry (IHC) and (iv) typification of HLA-DRB1 alleles associated with PF and PV in the PF-anti-Dsg3+ and PF-anti-Dsg3(-) groups.
assembled in a sandwich with a 0.45 μm nitrocellulose membrane (BioRad) for protein transfer. After blocking with 3% skimmed milk in TBS, and consecutive washings, the serum samples (1:20) were incubated on nitrocellulose strips, followed by incubation with anti-human HRP IgG secondary antibody (BioRad). After washing, color reagent (Color-Plus HRP, BioRad) was added for colorimetric development.

Expression of Dsgs1 and 3 in paraffin-embedded samples with IHC

For IHC assays, the manufacturers recommendations were followed (HRP-Polymer MACH1, Biocare Medical, Concord, CA, USA). Briefly, 5 μm sections were obtained and after antigen retrieval in citrate, inhibition of endogenous peroxidase and use of a blocking solution, were incubated with anti-Dsg1 and 3 monoclonal antibodies produced in mice (Abcam, MA, USA). After washing and incubation with Probe and HRP polymer, the reaction was terminated with dianinobenzidine (DAB).

Statistical analysis

The GraphPad Prism 9.2.0 software was used for statistical analysis and the generation of graphs. Frequencies between the groups were analyzed using Fisher or Chi-Square tests, and numerical data were analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn’s multiple comparisons. The result was considered significant when p < 0.05.

Results

Demographic and clinical data (Table 1)

There was no statistical difference regarding gender in the three groups. As for age groups, the PF-anti-Dsg3(-) group was younger than the PV group (p = 0.0522). There was no statistical difference when comparing the PF-anti-Dsg3+ with the PF-anti-Dsg3(-) and the PV group (p = 0.5361 and p > 0.9999, respectively). The generalized form predominated in the PF-anti-Dsg3+ group, when compared to the PF-anti-Dsg3(-) group (p = 0.002).

Serological data

Regarding anti-Dsg3 antibodies, the PF-anti-Dsg3+ group had lower titers than the PV group (p < 0.0001; Table 1). The distribution of anti-Dsg3 titers in the PF-anti-Dsg3+ group is depicted in Fig. 1A. Fig. 1B shows slight variation over time in anti-Dsg3 titers in six patients.

In the IB performed with sera from 12 patients, three recognized Dsg1, and one recognized Dsg3 (Fig. 2). PF10, which recognized Dsg3 in the IB, showed the 2nd highest anti-Dsg3 titer in the ELISA (Fig. 1A).

Expression of Dsgs 1 and 3 with IHC (Fig. 3)

IHC showed internalization of Dsg1, with coarse clumps inside the cytoplasm, sometimes perinuclear, in skin samples from patients in the PF-anti-Dsg3(-) (Fig. 3A) and PF-anti-Dsg3+ (Fig. 3B). Dsg3 expression occurred in the keratinocyte envelope and intracytoplasmically, with emphasis on its
expression throughout the epidermis. No internalization of Dsg3 was observed.

**HLA-DRB1** alleles associated with PF and PV in the PF-anti-Dsg3+ and PF-anti-Dsg3 (-) groups; Table 2

Five (31.3%) of 16 patients in the PF-anti-Dsg3+ group, and 16 (38.1%) of 42 in the PF-anti-Dsg3(-) group were typed. In the PF-anti-Dsg3+ group, five patients showed one or two susceptibility alleles for PF, and none of them had alleles associated with PV. In the PF-anti-Dsg3(-) group, 11 had alleles of susceptibility to PF, and two patients had alleles of susceptibility to PV in heterozygous form.

**Discussion**

The production of serum autoantibodies against Dsg3 is not expected in PF, since, in its pathogenesis, only anti-Dsg1 antibodies are implicated in subgranular acantholysis, with consequent formation of flaccid bullae on the skin. The PF phenotype does not include the mucosal lesions observed in PV due to antibodies against Dsg3. However, autoantibodies against Dsg3 have been rarely reported in PF cases. More recently, anti-Dsg3 antibodies have been reported in other forms of pemphigus. Arteaga et al. (2002) described anti-Dsg3 in 7% of 276 patients with PF, demonstrating that anti-Dsg3 antibodies did not show a serological cross-reaction with Dsg1. Flores et al. (2012) reported anti-Dsg3 in 40% of 101 FS sera, and in 14% of controls from an endemic region for FS in Brazil. Oliveira et al. (2016) reported anti-Dsg3 in 4% of the patients in a PF series (including patients from the northeastern region of the state of São Paulo). The present series confirmed 6.64% of patients with PF with indeterminate or positive results for anti-Dsg3 in the ELISA test.
Figure 3  IHC images with Dsg1 and Dsg3 expression stained with DAB in paraffin sections from bullous lesion biopsies showing superficial acantholysis. (A) Control sample from the PF-anti-Dsg3(-) group. Observe Dsg1 expression in intracytoplasmic clumps, and Dsg3 expression throughout the epidermis. (B) Sample from patient PF8 in the anti-Dsg3+ group. The Dsg1 and Dsg3 expressions are similar to those of the PF control.

of the PV group (p>0.999), and similar to that of the PF-anti-Dsg3(-) group. The generalized clinical form predominated in the PF-anti-Dsg3+ group (p=0.0020). Regarding the anti-Dsg3 titers of the PF-anti-Dsg3+ group, they were lower when compared to those of the PV group (p<0.0001). The measurement of anti-Dsg3 in the PV group was carried out in treatment-naïve patients, while in the PF-anti-Dsg3+ group, three (20%) of the 15 patients (with information on treat-
Table 2  Distribution of HLA-DRB1 alleles associated with pemphigus foliaceus (PF) and pemphigus vulgaris (PV) in the groups PF-anti-Dsg3+ and PF-anti-Dsg3-.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient identification</th>
<th>PF-anti-Dsg3+ HLA-DRB1*</th>
<th>PF-anti-Dsg3- HLA-DRB1*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group PF-anti-Dsg3+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>01:02 04:06</td>
<td>01:01 03:02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>01:01 04:04</td>
<td>01:02 04:11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>01:01 13:02</td>
<td>01:01 04:08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13a</td>
<td>01:01 04:01 14:06 16:01</td>
<td>01:01 04:08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>01:02 04:05</td>
<td>03:02 15:01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>01:01 04:02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>01:01 04:05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04:11 15:01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>01:01 07:01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>03:01 08:04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>01:02 11:02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>01:02 15:03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>01:02 04:04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>03:02 16:02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>01:01 15:03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patients with higher anti-Dsg3 titers (Fig. 1A). In orange and yellow: susceptibility alleles to PF in the northeastern region of the state of São Paulo and in other regions of Brazil, respectively. In dark green: PF resistance allele described in other regions of Brazil. In red and light green: susceptibility and resistance alleles to PV, respectively, in the northeastern region of the state of São Paulo. PF and PV association alleles were collected from Franco Brochado et al., 2016 and Franco Brochado et al., 2016.

PF-anti-Dsg3+ group could justify the lack of Dsg3 internalization, as well as the absence of mucosal lesions. In exclusively cutaneous PV, low anti-Dsg3 titers could explain the absence of mucosal lesions.25

Although HLA-DRB1 alleles were not typed in the whole group of PF-anti-Dsg3+, exclusive alleles of susceptibility to PF were determined in the five individuals that were typed, in homozygous or heterozygous forms, without the presence of alleles associated with PV. Recently, Sielski et al. (2022) demonstrated that cases of PV that contradicted the hypothesis of Dsgs compensation were related to the absence of DRB1 alleles of susceptibility to PV.26 Therefore, the absence of susceptibility alleles to PV in the PF-anti-Dsg3+ group could contribute to the non-pathogenicity of antibodies against Dsg3.

The production of anti-Dsg3 in PF could be justified by the phenomenon of epitope spreading – patients with a specific bullous disease have non-pathogenic autoantibodies against other molecules of the epidermis that do not cause the specific bullous disease. Its pathogenesis is explained by the exposure of other epidermal molecules during the inflammation process caused by acantholysis, in the case of pemphigus.27-30 In this study, IHC showed the expression of Dsg3 in all layers of the epidermis. Thus, it could justify the greater exposure of Dsg3, with consequent production of anti-Dsg3. However, this expression in all layers of the epidermis was observed in samples from both groups – PF-anti-Dsg3(-) and PF-anti-Dsg3+. As the PF-anti-Dsg3(-) group consisted of treatment-naïve patients, it is not known whether anti-Dsg3 would be produced during pemphigus evolution.

Moreover, there are rare reports of patients with clinically and laboratory-proven PF who migrate to the PV phenotype, or of patients with both PF and PV characteristics.31-34 However, PV migrating to PF is more commonly observed.25 The present case series does not show similar cases.

Conclusions

The presence of anti-Dsg3 antibodies in PF was related to an older age group (comparable to that of PV) and the generalized form of PF. The non-pathogenicity of anti-Dsg3 antibodies in PF can be attributed to low anti-Dsg3 titers, the lack of Dsg3 internalization as seen on IHC, and the absence of PV-associated HLA-DRB1 alleles.
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